



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/648,584	08/25/2003	Lyn Lequam Ashton	TUC920030066US1	4087
45216	7590	01/04/2007		
KUNZLER & ASSOCIATES			EXAMINER	
8 EAST BROADWAY			GOLDEN, JAMES R	
SUITE 600				
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2187	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/04/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/648,584	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ASHTON ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> James Golden	<b>Art Unit</b> 2187	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) James Golden (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
 (2) Scott Thorpe (Reg. No. 54,491) (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 12 December 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 9 and 11.

Identification of prior art discussed: Hoffberg et al. (US 2002/0151992).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The attorney called the examiner in response to the Non-Final Rejection mailed 19 September 2006. A possible amendment to the independent claims was suggested by the attorney regarding clarification of the meaning of "full" tape segments that could overcome the rejections using the Huffberg et al. reference.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



**DONALD SPARKS**  
**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**



Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Examiner's signature, if required